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#### Abstract

C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3}, \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{4}, F(000)=\) 992, $M_{r}=473.44$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, a=9.298$ (4), $b=20.542$ (10), $c=13.373$ (8) $\AA, \beta=102.14$ (5) ${ }^{\circ}$, $Z=4, D_{c}=1.259, D_{m}=1.27 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \mu(\mathrm{Cu} K(\mathrm{c})=$ $1.27 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Full-matrix least-squares refinement of 4463 reflexions collected with graphite-monochromatized $\mathrm{Cu} K a$ radiation on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer by a moving-crystal moving-counter technique (range $3^{\circ}<\theta<70^{\circ}$ ) led to $R=0.081$. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length is $1.601 \AA$, the NPN angles are $116.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2}\right)$ and $118.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ and the PNP angles are $120.0^{\circ}$ (between $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups) and $121.5^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ ring is significantly non-planar.


Introduction. The crystal used was prepared by Dr R. T. Oakley (Gallicano, Oakley \& Paddock, 1980), and measured $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. The coordinates of the P atoms were obtained from a three-dimensional Patterson synthesis, and the structure was solved from an electron density map using phases calculated from them, with the use of published scattering factors (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1962). The structure was refined with isotropic temperature factors to $R=0.152$, then with anisotropic factors to $R=0.097$. The inclusion of H atoms at positions calculated after each cycle of refinement led to $R=0.081$ for observed reflexions, or 0.083 if 167 weak reflexions which were not used in the refinement were included.* The coordinates of the H atoms coincided with maxima on a difference synthesis.

Discussion. The coordinates of the non-hydrogen atoms are given in Table 1, and the molecular

* Lists of structure factors, thermal parameters and H -atom coordinates, and additional details of the molecular geometry have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 35424 ( 28 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH 1 2HU, England.
dimensions in Table 2. Figs. 1 and 2 show the molecular geometry and packing respectively. The angular positions of the four phenyl groups appear to be controlled sterically, the shortest intramolecular

Table 1. Fractional coordinates (for $\mathrm{P} \times 10^{5}$, for N , $\left.\mathrm{C} \times 10^{4}\right)$ and $U_{e q}\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ of the non-hydrogen atoms, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 23869 (12) | 5961 (5) | -915 (8) | 302 (6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 42175 (12) | 15671 (4) | 9256 (8) | 289 (5) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 32060 (12) | 6005 (5) | 20485 (8) | 303 (6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 3595 (4) | 1158 (2) | -91 (3) | 395 (23) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 4107 (4) | 1262 (2) | 2004 (3) | 376 (24) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2251 (4) | 323 (2) | 1003 (3) | 392 (23) |
| C(1) | 616 (6) | 890 (3) | -722 (5) | 525 (35) |
| C (2) | 2772 (6) | -70 (2) | -858 (4) | 526 (35) |
| C(3) | 3339 (5) | 2361 (2) | 848 (4) | 410 (27) |
| C(4) | 3465 (7) | 2739 (3) | 1697 (5) | 617 (40) |
| C(5) | 2762 (8) | 3351 (3) | 1650 (6) | 812 (52) |
| C(6) | 1936 (7) | 3564 (3) | 732 (5) | 819 (47) |
| C(7) | 1793 (7) | 3191 (3) | -130 (5) | 731 (38) |
| C(8) | 2499 (6) | 2580 (2) | -84 (4) | 544 (31) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 6105 (5) | 1771 (2) | 953 (3) | 335 (24) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 7113 (6) | 1829 (3) | 1843 (5) | 607 (39) |
| C(11) | 8556 (7) | 2026 (4) | 1863 (6) | 776 (55) |
| C(12) | 8982 (6) | 2168 (3) | 960 (6) | 769 (54) |
| C(13) | 8000 (7) | 2105 (3) | 73 (6) | 835 (48) |
| C(14) | 6546 (6) | 1909 (3) | 34 (4) | 565 (32) |
| C(15) | 1987 (5) | 719 (2) | 2918 (3) | 407 (26) |
| C(16) | 1974 (7) | 1281 (2) | 3484 (4) | 625 (37) |
| C(17) | 995 (8) | 1356 (3) | 4122 (5) | 882 (47) |
| C(18) | 3 (7) | 873 (4) | 4192 (5) | 891 (54) |
| C(19) | 24 (7) | 301 (4) | 3648 (5) | 801 (57) |
| C(20) | 997 (6) | 221 (3) | 3008 (4) | 603 (36) |
| C(21) | 4469 (5) | -20 (2) | 2658 (3) | 374 (24) |
| C(22) | 4618 (7) | -607 (2) | 2146 (4) | 554 (40) |
| C(23) | 5661 (8) | -1057 (3) | 2595 (5) | 719 (47) |
| C(24) | 6532 (7) | -949 (3) | 3547 (5) | 692 (36) |
| C(25) | 6389 (6) | -377 (3) | 4062 (4) | 520 (31) |
| C(26) | 5351 (6) | 84 (2) | 3613 (3) | 432 (28) |

[^0]Table 2. Interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 0 (4) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) \quad 1.790$ | 1.790 (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3) \quad 1.59$ | 9 (4) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) \quad 1.791$ | 1.791 (5) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1) \quad 1.60$ | 1 (4) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) \quad 1.818$ | 1.818 (4) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2) \quad 1.50$ | (4) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9) \quad 1.797$ | 1.797 (4) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2) \quad 1.6$ | (4) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15) \quad 1.804$ | 1.804 (5) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{N}(3) \quad 1.5$ | 7 (4) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21) \quad 1.808$ | 1.808 (4) |
| Mean 1.60 | 1 (5)* | Mean (to Ph) 1.807 | 1.807 (9)* |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 116.2 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $102 \cdot 6$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 118.8 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 103.9 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 117.9 (2) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $120 \cdot 3$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 105.2 (3) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $120 \cdot 0$ (2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $122 \cdot 8$ (3) |

## Table 3. Mean geometry of phenyl groups

Group numbering: (1) $\mathrm{C}(3-8)$; (2) $\mathrm{C}(9-14)$; (3) $\mathrm{C}(15-20)$; (4) $\mathrm{C}(21-26)$. Mean planes are weighted by standard deviations of the coordinates; figures in parentheses are r.m.s. deviations from the mean.

| Group | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(\AA)$ | $\delta(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})\left({ }^{\circ}\right)^{*}$ | $\Delta(\AA) \dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | $1.39(2)$ | 0.67 | $0.0007(5)$ |
| $(2)$ | $1.38(3)$ | 1.57 | $0.0014(14)$ |
| $(3)$ | $1.383(9)$ | 0.78 | $0.007(4)$ |
| $(4)$ | $1.388(11)$ | 0.96 | $0.004(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| *R.m.s. deviations from $120.00^{\circ}$. |  |  |  |
| † R.m.s. absolute deviations from the mean plane. |  |  |  |

non-bonded $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances being normal, typically $\mathrm{C}(12) \cdots \mathrm{C}(8) 3.90 \AA$. The absence of steric strain among the phenyl groups is confirmed by the nearequality of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angles $\left[120.5(1.5)^{\circ}\right]$. There are no unusual features in the geometry of the phenyl groups (Table 3). There is a small difference in length between the $\mathrm{P}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ bonds, which can be ascribed to the difference in $\sigma$ hybridization at P implied by the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ angles. The mean length of the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{N}$ bonds is intermediate between those in $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}_{6}$ ( $1.597 \AA$ ) (Ahmed, Singh \& Barnes, 1969) and in $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Me}_{6}$ ( $1.605 \AA$ ) (Oakley, Paddock, Rettig \& Trotter, 1977). Because the electronegativities of the methyl and phenyl groups do not differ greatly, there is no firm indication of the systematic bond-length inequalities found in $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( Mani , Ahmed \& Barnes, 1966), in $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ (Mani, Ahmed \& Barnes, 1965) and in $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ (Allen, Faught, Moeller \& Paul, 1969). The distinction between the two types of substituent is apparent in the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ angles. The electron density in the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds is more remote from the less electronegative $\mathrm{PMe}_{2}$ group than it is from the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group, and the angle at the $\mathrm{PMe}_{2}$ group is consequently the smaller, by $2 \cdot 2^{\circ}$. The sum of the internal angles in the $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ ring is $4 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ less than the $720^{\circ}$ required for planarity. The description of the distortion is arbitrary, but four atoms $|\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{N}(3), \mathrm{P}(1)|$ are nearly coplanar (mean


Fig. 1. The $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}$ molecule.


Fig. 2. The molecular packing of $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}$. The shortest intermolecular distances are $\mathrm{C}(2)\left(\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \quad \frac{1}{2}-\right.$ $z)-\mathrm{C}(22)\left(-\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z\right), 3 \cdot 543 \AA$, and $\mathrm{C}(17)\left(\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y\right.$, $\left.\frac{1}{2}-z\right)-C(24)\left(-\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z\right), 3 \cdot 565 \AA$.
deviation from weighted mean plane $0.05 \AA$ ). The other two P atoms are on opposite sides of the mean plane [P(2) 0.19; P(3)-0.21 A].
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[^0]:    * $U_{\text {eq }}=+\sum_{i} \check{L}_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \bar{a}_{i} \bar{a}_{j}$, where $\bar{a}_{i}$ is the direct cell constant, and $U_{U}=\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(U_{11} a^{* 2} h^{2}+U_{22} b^{* 2} k^{2}+U_{33} c^{* 2} l^{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.2 U_{12} a^{*} b^{*} h k+2 U_{13} a^{*} c^{*} h l+2 U_{23} b^{*} c^{*} k l\right)\right]$.

